

Proxy Voting Policy

Econopolis Invest - Exponential Technologies DBI/RDT Econopolis Invest - EcoVi Equities DBI/RDT



Introduction

Considering Econopolis' philosophy regarding responsible investing, Econopolis acknowledges its responsibility towards society in terms of the impact we have on our surroundings. In that regard, we believe that actively participating in the general meetings of the companies Econopolis invests in is a crucial part of taking the beforementioned responsibility. In this manner, Econopolis takes it a step further to ensure that our ESG policy, which can be found on the site, is adhered to by the companies we invest in.

Econopolis will abstain from voting unless in cases where a proposal in the general meeting of a company in one of its sub-fund's portfolios is against our Key Voting Principles and will vote for proposals that cover the topics regarding environmental and social issues as mentioned in this voting policy. These principles were set up based on a collaboration with ISS proxy exchange, who will exercise all voting rights of Econopolis thereat according to instructions provided by or on behalf of the Econopolis.

Voting Process

The official voting process has been set up in such a way to ensure the votes cast by Econopolis are open to discussion and are in line with its philosophy. In the case that a general meeting will be held by one of the investee companies that covers a subject mentioned in this voting policy, the topic together with the advice in line with Econopolis' voting policy will be presented to the investment committee. The latter consists of the CEO, two senior fund managers, the head of wealth management and the compliance officer. If no negative advice is given, the vote in question will be passed through to ISS, who will exercise Econopolis' voting rights.

Our Key Voting Principles

Econopolis believes that the governance of companies, such as employee relations, management structures and executive renumeration plays a fundamental role in ensuring the inclusion of social and environmental considerations in the decision-making process. To ensure good governance is practiced in companies where Econopolis is a shareholder, we vote actively on proposals in shareholder meetings that cover good corporate governance. More specifically, to stress the importance of the changing environment and social issues, Econopolis places specific focus on the following subjects in general meetings;

- Board of directors
 - o Gender diversity
 - Climate accountability
- Environmental and Social Issues
 - o Voting on Social and Environmental Proposals
 - o Say on Climate Management Proposals
 - Say on Climate Shareholder Proposals

Board of directors

To ensure good governance, **we vote against** a management nominee in the election of the board in the case that;

General



- Adequate disclosure has not been provided in a timely manner;
- There are clear concerns over questionable finances or restatements;
- There have been questionable transactions with conflict of interests;
- There are any records of abuses against minority shareholder interests;
- There are specific concerns about the individual, such as criminal wrongdoing or breach of fiduciary responsibilities; and
- Repeated absences at board and key committee meetings have not been explained (in countries where this information is disclosed)

Board Gender Diversity

Econopolis considers diversity within a company to be of great importance, as we believe that diversity is necessary for the good governance and sustainability of a company. Therefore, Econopolis will generally vote against the chair of the nomination committee (or other directors) if the board lacks at least one director of an underrepresented gender identity¹. Additionally, due to the differences in the domestic market best practices in terms of gender diversity on the work floor, Econopolis follows ISS's recommendations regarding the nomination of directors depending on best market practices. ISS's policy regarding gender diversity can be found on https://www.issgovernance.com/policy-gateway/voting-policies/.

Climate Accountability

For companies that are significant greenhouse gas (GHG) emitters, through their operations or value chain, Econopolis will generally **vote against** the responsible incumbent director(s), or any other appropriate item(s) in cases where ISS determines that the company is not taking the minimum steps needed to understand, assess, and mitigate risks related to climate change to the company and the larger economy.

For 2022, minimum steps to understand and mitigate those risks are the following. Both minimum criteria will be required to comply:

- Detailed disclosure of climate-related risks, such as according to the framework established by the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), including:
 - o Board governance measures;
 - Corporate strategy;
 - Risk management analyses; and
 - o Metrics and targets.
- Appropriate GHG emissions reduction targets.

For 2022, "appropriate GHG emissions reductions targets" will be any well-defined GHG reduction targets. Targets for Scope 3 emissions will not be required for 2022 but the targets should cover at least a significant portion of the company's direct emissions. Expectations about what constitutes "minimum steps to mitigate risks related to climate change" will increase over time.

Environmental and Social Issues

At Econopolis, we believe a company's responsibility to its shareholders is not only to ensure sufficient financial returns, but to ensure it in a way that embeds Environmental and Social issues into its decision-making process. Therefore, we actively vote on meetings that cover social and environmental proposals.

¹ Underrepresented gender identities include directors who identify as women or non-binary



Voting on Social and Environmental Proposals

In general, Econopolis supports proposals covering social and environmental related subjects. These include a wide range of topics, including **consumer and product safety, environment and energy, labour standards and human rights, workplace and board diversity, and corporate political issues**. In general, **we vote for** resolutions seeking greater transparency and/or adhere to internationally recognised standards and principles. Econopolis will generally follow ISS' recommendations based on their case-by-case analysis of the proposal, unless decided otherwise during one of the Equity Meetings. ISS's policy regarding social and environmental issues can be found on <u>https://www.issgovernance.com/policy-gateway/voting-policies/</u>.

Say on Climate Management Proposals

Econopolis will vote for management proposals that request shareholders to approve the company's climate transition action plan, considering the completeness and rigor of the plan. Information that will be considered where available includes the following:

- The extent to which the company's climate related disclosures are in line with TCFD recommendations and meet other market standards;
- Disclosure of its operational and supply chain GHG emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3);
- The completeness and rigor of company's short-, medium-, and long-term targets for reducing operational and supply chain GHG emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3 if relevant);
- Whether the company has sought and approved third-party approval that its targets are sciencebased;
- Whether the company has made a commitment to be "net zero" for operational and supply chain emissions (Scopes 1, 2, and 3) by 2050;
- Whether the company discloses a commitment to report on the implementation of its plan in subsequent years;
- Whether the company's climate data has received third-party assurance;
- Disclosure of how the company's lobbying activities and its capital expenditures align with company strategy;
- Whether there are specific industry decarbonization challenges; and
- The company's related commitment, disclosure, and performance compared to its industry peers.

Say on Climate Shareholder Proposals

Econopolis will vote for shareholder proposals that request the company to disclose a report providing its GHG emissions levels and reduction targets and/or its upcoming/approved climate transition action plan and provide shareholders the opportunity to express approval or disapproval of its GHG emissions reduction plan, considering information such as the following:

- The completeness and rigor of the company's climate-related disclosure;
- The company's actual GHG emissions performance;
- Whether the company has been the subject of recent, significant violations, fines, litigation, or controversy related to its GHG emissions; and
- Whether the proposal's request is unduly burdensome (scope or timeframe) or overly prescriptive.
- Eigen opgelegde standaarden zoals uiteengezet in de ESG-policies



Follow-up and review

As Econopolis highly values transparency, Econopolis undertakes to publish a voting report on a yearly base on its website <u>www.econopolis.be</u>. The report will include an overview of the casted votes, an overview of those meetings covering a topic that is mentioned in this voting policy and why we chose to abstain from voting. The abovementioned voting principles are up for a yearly review and may be extended in the future.



Econopolis Wealth Management NV/SA Sneeuwbeslaan 20, bus 12 – 2610 Wilrijk, België T + 32 336 65 55 F +32 336 64 66 info@econopolis.be www.econopolis.be BTW BE0812 127 055